

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231 APPLICATION NUMBER FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED APPLICANT 08/998.507 12/26/97 BAUER Α 1704345 EXAMINER QM61/0413 ROBERT J SCHNEIDER FORD.J CHAPMAN AND CUTLER ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 111 WEST MONROE STREET CHICAGO IL 60603 3743 DATE MAILED: 04/13/99 This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire_ month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). **Disposition of Claims** Claim(s) is/are pending in the application. is/are withdrawn from consideration. Of the above, claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement. **Application Papers** See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _ _____is/are objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on _ is approved disapproved. ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). 💢 All 🗌 Some* 🔲 None 🛮 of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) ■ Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892 ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413

- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES -

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

Art Unit: 3743

13

5

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: first species of exhaust air motor regulator (see page 13, penultimate paragraph and claim 2) wherein "the desired value for the exhaust air motor is calculated on dependence on the <u>outside temperature</u>..."

second species wherein PAB SOLL is determined by supply air temperature supply (page 13, penultimate paragraph and claim 2),

third species wherein PAB SOLL is determined by supply air pressure (page 13, penultimate paragraph and claim 2), or and an indeterminant number of variants of the above three species which may be disclosed as claimed in claims 2-5.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 998,507 Page 3

Art Unit: 3743

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

If applicant elects one of the indeterminant number of variants of the first three species, he is required to submit a proposed drawing correction to show it, as well as sufficient details to enable the examiner to understand precisely what is being disclosed and where that disclosure exists. Claim 2, as written, claims a series of alternative (and mutually exclusive) structures (species) in a way that is not permissible. In other words it is not seen how all of these systems could work together as claim 2 implies when using the word "and" between variants (which are deemed mutually exclusive).

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 998,507

Page 4

Art Unit: 3743

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to John Ford at telephone number (703) 308-2636.

John K. Ford Primary Examiner

J. Ford:lm March 30, 1999